

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/853,042	05/11/2001	Robert Wenz	MERCK-2238	5070
23599	7590 05/07/2002			
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400			EXAMINER	
			AZPURU, CARLOS A	
ARLINGTON, VA 22201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	5
			DATE MAILED: 05/07/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Best Available Copy

Office Action	Summary
---------------	---------

Application No. Applicant(s)

09/853,042

Wenz et al

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carlos Azpuru	1615	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corre	spondence add	'ess
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE MON	NTH(S) FROM	
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.		•	
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period 		•	date of this
communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute		•	
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 			
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This acti	on is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under Expa			erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) 🗶 Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>		is/are pen	ding in the applica
4a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/are withdr	awn from considera
5)		is/aı	e allowed.
6)			e rejected.
7)		is/a	e objected to.
8) 🗶 Claims <u>1-20</u>		o restriction and	l/or election requirem
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re objected to by the Examiner.		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved	b) disapprove	ed.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	er.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prio	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).		
a) All b) Some* c) None of:			*
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	been received in Application No.	12-12-11-11-	·
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority doc application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	s National Stage	e
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the office action for a list of the office action for domestic property.	·		•
	11011ty and 01 00 0.0.0. 3 1 10(0).		
Attachment(s)			
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper N	· · ·	
 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (F20) Other:	PTO-152)	
,	20) Ottier.	·	

Application/Control Number: 09/853,042

Art Unit: 2165

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-17, and 20, drawn to a mixture calcium phosphate paste, a bone kit, method of bone repair, classified in class 424, subclass 426.
- II. Claims 18 and 19, drawn to a method of preparing hydroxyapatite, classified in class 523, subclass 114+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I and Group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by the process disclosed in WO'906.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Brion P. Heaney on May 03, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 09/853,042

Art Unit: 2165

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos A. Azpuru whose telephone number is 703/308-0237. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Fri, 6:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on 703-308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

ca

May 3, 2002

CARLOS AZPURU PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500